For the Northern District of California

25

26

27

28

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	IN THE UNITED STA	ATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	FOR THE NORTHERN I	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
10	Mary Jo Meek, derivatively on behalf of the Agile Software Corporation,	NO. C 06-07434 JW	
11	Donald Skeans, derivatively on behalf of the	Related cases: C 07-00019 JW	
12	Agile Software Corporation,	C 07-00542 JW C 07-00673 JW	
3	Steven Rossen, derivatively on behalf of the Agile Software Corporation,	ORDER CONSOLIDATING CASES AND	
5	Brenda Hepfer, derivatively on behalf of the Agile Software Corporation,	APPOINTING LEAD PLAINTIFFS AND LEAD COUNSEL	
.6	Plaintiffs,		
7	V.		
8	Byron Stolle, et al.,		
9	Defendants,		
20	and,		
1	Agile Software Corporation,		
2	Nominal Defendant.		
23	Presently before the Court is a Stipulation	n and Proposed Order Consolidating Cases and	

Appointing Lead Plaintiffs and Lead Counsel. (See Docket Item No. 24.) The related shareholders' derivative actions were filed on behalf of nominal defendant Agile Software Corporation ("Agile Software"); they are: Meek v. Stolle, et al., C 06-07434 JW (filed December 5, 2006), Skeans v. Stolle, et al., C 07-00019 JW (filed on January 3, 2007), Rosen v. Stolle, et al., C 07-00542 JW (filed on January 26, 2007), and Hepfer v. Stolle, et al., C 07-00673 JW (filed February 1, 2007).

lifornia	
ict of Cal	
ern Distr	
he North	
For th	

Upon review, the Court adopts the parties' Stipulation and further ORDERS as follow: (1)
the Related Derivative Cases consolidated; (2) Plaintiffs Mary Jo Meek and Steven Rosen appointed
Lead Plaintiffs; (3) Schiffrin Barroway Topz & Kessler, LLP and Lerach Coughlin Stoia Geller
Rudman & Robbins LLP appointed Co-Lead Counsel. Accordingly, the Court VACATES the
hearing on the motion to consolidate presently scheduled for March 19, 2007.

The Clerk shall consolidate these actions such that the earlier filed action, C 06-07434 JW, is the lead case. All future filings shall be filed in C 06-07434 JW and bear the caption: "In Re Agile Software Corporation Derivative Litigation."

A hearing on Defendants' anticipated motion to dismiss is set for **October 1, 2007 at 9 AM.**If Defendants do not file a motion to dismiss, the Court will conduct a further case management conference on that date at the **10:00 a.m.**

Pursuant to the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, the Court ORDERS all discovery STAYED pending Defendants' anticipated motion to dismiss. See In re Altera Corp. Derivative Litigation, 06-03447 JW, 2006 WL 2917578 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 11, 2006).

To the extent that it is applicable, the Consolidated Complaint shall comply with the following Structure Regarding Complaint Governed by Private Securities Litigation Reform Act.

I. <u>ALLEGED UNTRUE STATEMENTS OF A PAST OR THEN-CURRENT</u> <u>MATERIAL FACT</u>

- 1. First alleged untrue statement of a material fact. [Include a quotation of the precise statement which the Plaintiff alleges was made. If multiple parts of a statement are involved, treat each part as a separate statement.]
 - a. **Date of the statement:**
 - b. **Recipient:** [Describe the person or entity to whom the statement was made and how the recipient recorded or captured the statement.]

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

c.	Identity of author: [State the basis for attributing statement
	to the alleged author. If the identity of the author is unknown
	so state, and state all identifying information known to the
	Plaintiff as of the time of the pleading.

- d. **True Facts:** [Set forth in detail the alleged true status of all allegedly untrue matters contained in the statement and state how the Plaintiff knows (or why the Plaintiff believes) that its allegation of the true status was, in fact, the true status.]
- e. **Scienter:** [Set forth the Plaintiff's allegations of what the author knew or believed at the time the author made the untrue statement.]
- f. Factual basis for scienter: [Set forth the facts on which the Plaintiff bases its allegation that the author knew or believed the true facts at the time the author made the untrue statement.]
- g. **Effect, if any, on the stock price or on the market:** [If the Plaintiff alleges that there was no individualized effect, it is permissible to allege effect on Stock Price in Section H.]

h. Loss causation:

- 1. **Date of loss:**
- 2. **Nature of loss:** [e.g. Whether Plaintiff purchased stocks at the inflated price or sold stocks at a price negatively impacted by the alleged material misstatements or omissions and connection of loss to conduct described above in Sections a-f.]
- 3. Extent of loss:

1	2.	Second alleged untrue statement of a material fact.
2		a. Date of the statement:
3		b. Recipient:
4		c. Identity of author:
5		d. True Facts:
6		e. Scienter:
7		f. Factual basis for scienter.
8		g. Effect, if any, on the stock price or on the market:
9		h. Loss causation:
10		1. Date of loss:
11		2. Nature of loss:
12		3. Extent of loss:
13	II. ALLE	GED UNTRUE FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS UNACCOMPANIED
14	BY M	EANINGFUL CAUTIONARY LANGUAGE
15	1.	First alleged untrue forward-looking statement of a material fact.
16		a. Date of the statement:
17		b. Recipient:
18		c. Identity of author:
19		d. True Facts:
20		e. Scienter:
21		f. Factual basis for scienter:
22		g. Effect, if any, on the stock price or on the market:
23		h. Loss causation:
24		1. Date of loss:
25		2. Nature of loss:
26		3. Extent of loss:
27		
28		4

1	2.	Second alleged untrue forward-looking statement of a material fact.
2		a. Date of the statement:
3		b. Recipient:
4		c. Identity of author:
5		d. True Facts:
6		e. Scienter:
7		f. Factual basis for scienter:
8		g. Effect, if any, on the stock price or on the market:
9		h. Loss causation:
10		1. Date of loss:
11		2. Nature of loss:
12		3. Extent of loss:
13	III. <u>ALLI</u>	EGED OMISSIONS TO STATE A PAST OR THEN-CURRENT MATERIAL
14	<u>FAC</u>	Γ WHICH SHOULD HAVE BEEN MADE IN ORDER TO MAKE A
15	STAT	TEMENT MADE NOT MISLEADING
16	1.	First alleged misleading statement or omission of a material fact.
17		[Include a quotation of the precise statement which the Plaintiff alleges was
18		made. If multiple parts of a statement are involved, treat each part as a
19		separate statement.]
20		a. Date of the statement or omission:
21		b. Recipient: [Describe the person or entity to whom the
22		statement or omission was made and how the recipient
23		recorded or captured the statement.]
24		c. Identity of person responsible for making the misleading or
25		omitted statement alleged: [State the basis for attributing
26		statement to the alleged author. If the identity of the author is
27		unknown, so state, and state all identifying information known
28		to the Plaintiff as of the time of the pleading.]

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	/
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

d.	Omitted Facts: [Set forth in detail the alleged complete status
	of all matters which were omitted from the misleading
	statement and how those matters would have prevented the
	statement from being misleading and state how the Plaintiff
	knows (or why the Plaintiff believes) that its allegation of the
	complete status was, in fact, the true status.]

- e. **Scienter:** [Set forth the Plaintiff's allegations of what the author knew or believed at the time the author omitted the misleading statement.]
- f. Factual basis for scienter: [Set forth the facts on which the Plaintiff bases its allegation that the author knew or believed the omitted facts at the time the author made the misleading statement.]
- g. Effect, if any, on the stock price or on the market:
- h. Loss causation:
 - 1. **Date of loss:**
 - 2. **Nature of loss:**
 - 3. Extent of loss:

1	2. Sec	ond alle	ged misleading statement or omission of a material fact.
2	a.	Date	e of the statement:
3	b.	Reci	pient:
4	c.	Iden	tity of person responsible for making the misleading or omitted
5		state	ement alleged:
6	d.	Omi	tted Facts:
7	e.	Scien	nter:
8	f.	Fact	ual basis for scienter:
9	g.	Effe	ct, if any, on the stock price or on the market:
10	h.	Loss	causation:
11		1.	Date of loss:
12		2.	Nature of loss:
13		3.	Extent of loss:
14			\circ ι
15	Dated: March 15,	2007	JAMES WARE
16			United States District Judge
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT COPIES OF THIS ORDER HAVE BEEN DELIVERED TO:

Alan R. Plutzik aplutzik@bramsonplutzik.com David Priebe david.priebe@dlapiper.com Monique Winkler Monique W@lerachlaw.com Shawn A. Williams shawnw@lerachlaw.com Travis E. Downs travisd@lerachlaw.com William S. Lerach e file sf@lerachlaw.com **Dated: March 15, 2007** Richard W. Wieking, Clerk /s/ JW Chambers Elizabeth Garcia **Courtroom Deputy**